

PERPUSTAKAAN UMP



0000086937

STRENGTH AND COMPRESSION OF SOFT SOIL L REINFORCED WITH
BOTTOM ASH COLUMNS

MUZAMIR BIN HASAN

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2013

9 17/11/14 PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG	
No. Perolehan 086937	No. Panggilan TA 660 C6 M89 2013 Thesis
Tarikh 01 JUL 2014	

ABSTRACT

Stone column could be used as a ground improvement technique where a portion of the soft soil is replaced with granular material such as crushed rocks or sand which is proven to increase bearing capacity and accelerate the dissipation of excess pore water pressure. This research was aimed to investigate the role of bottom ash columns in improving the shear strength and compressibility of soft reconstituted kaolin clay. This was done by determining the effects of area replacement ratio, height penetrating ratio and volume replacement ratio of a single and a group of bottom ash columns on the strength characteristics and also the effects of confining pressure on the compressibility characteristics of kaolin clay reinforced with bottom ash column(s). Among the objectives of this research include establishing a design chart that correlating the undrained shear strength of bottom ash column(s) reinforced kaolin clay with the volume replacement ratio and the mean normal effective stress, through critical state soil mechanics framework. The reinforced kaolin samples were subjected to using Unconfined Compression Test (UCT) and Consolidated Undrained (CU) Triaxial Test. Research variables include diameter and height of the bottom ash columns and effective confining pressure, σ'_3 (also equals to mean normal effective stress, p'_o). Analysis of the results had been carried out using the Mohr-Coulomb and critical state failure criteria. Based the results of UCT, it can be concluded that the undrained shear strength generally increased with the increased in the height penetrating ratio but decreased after reaching an optimum improvement at 80 % of height penetrating ratio. The increment of undrained shear strength was also dependent on the area replacement ratio. Without confining pressure, the higher the area replacement ratio, the higher the strength occurred. However, excessive area of replacement decreased the shear strength of the sample reinforced by group columns since the remaining width of the soil sample was too thin to hold the columns. Based on the Mohr-Coulomb failure criteria of the CU test results, the installation of bottom ash columns did not show any significant difference in the effective friction angle. However, it did result in an increase in the apparent cohesion and undrained shear strength of the kaolin clay. It is also proven that the dissipation of pore water pressure was accelerated with the presence of bottom ash columns. Analysis through the critical state soil mechanics framework revealed critical state parameters of M , Γ and λ for each samples. Most of the M values for the soil-bottom ash composite lie between 1.25 and 1.33, giving a variation on the friction angle at critical state between 31° to 33° , hence fall under the "mixtures of gravel and sand with fine-grained soils" category. Generally it can be concluded that the shear strength and compressibility of soft clay could be improved by the installation of bottom ash columns. Hence, a design chart was established intended to become a design tool, particularly in the determining the volume of bottom ash needed to form the vertical columns achieve to the required shear strength of the improved clay soil.

ABSTRAK

Tiang batu boleh digunakan sebagai teknik pembaikan tanah yang mana sebahagian tanah lembut digantikan dengan bahan berbijian seperti batuan hancur atau pasir yang terbukti boleh meningkatkan keupayaan galas dan mempercepatkan pelepasan lebih tekanan air liang. Penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji peranan tiang abu dasar dalam memperbaiki kekuatan ricih dan kebolehmampatan tanah liat kaolin lembut yang disusun semula. Ini dilakukan dengan menentukan kesan nisbah penggantian luas, nisbah ketinggian tertusuk dan nisbah penggantian isipadu bagi tiang abu dasar tunggal dan kumpulan selain kesan tekanan mengurung ke atas ciri-ciri kebolehmampatan tanah liat kaolin yang diperkukuh dengan tiang abu dasar. Antara objektif penyelidikan ini termasuk mewujudkan carta rekabentuk yang menghubungkan kekuatan ricih tak tersalir tanah liat kaolin yang diperkukuh dengan tiang abu dasar dengan nisbah penggantian isipadu dan purata tegasan normal berkesan, melalui kerangka kerja mekanik tanah keadaan genting. Sampel kaolin yang terkukuh dengan tiang abu dasar telah diuji menggunakan kaedah Ujikaji Mampatan Tak Terkurung (UCT) dan Ujikaji Tiga Paksi Terkukuh Tak Tersalir (CU). Pembolehubah kajian termasuk garis pusat dan ketinggian tiang abu dasar dan tekanan mengurung berkesan, σ'_3 (yang juga bersamaan dengan purata tegasan berkesan normal, p'_0). Keputusan telah dilakukan menggunakan kriteria-kriteria kegagalan Mohr-Coulomb dan keadaan genting. Berdasarkan keputusan UCT, boleh disimpulkan bahawa secara amnya kekuatan ricih tak tersalir meningkat dengan pertambahan nisbah tusukan ketinggian berkurang selepas mencapai pembaikan optimum pada 80 % nisbah tusukan ketinggian. Penambahan kekuatan ricih tak tersalir juga bergantung kepada nisbah penggantian luas. Tanpa tekanan mengurung, semakin tinggi nisbah penggantian luas, semakin tinggi kekuatan yang berlaku. Walau bagaimanapun, penggantian luas berlebihan menyebabkan kekuatan ricih tanah berkurangan bagi sampel tanah yang diperkukuhkan dengan tiang berkumpulan disebabkan lebar sampel tanah yang tinggal akan menjadi terlalu nipis untuk memegang tiang. Melalui kriteria kegagalan Mohr-Coulomb menggunakan keputusan ujikaji CU, pemasangan tiang abu dasar tidak menunjukkan perubahan besar dalam sudut geseran berkesan. Namun, ia menunjukkan peningkatan dalam lekatan nyata dan kekuatan ricih tak tersalir bagi tanah liat kaolin. Ianya juga terbukti bahawa pelepasan lebih tekanan air liang dipercepatkan dengan kehadiran tiang abu dasar. Analisis melalui kerangka kerja mekanik tanah keadaan kritikal memperkenalkan parameter keadaan kritikal M , Γ dan λ untuk setiap sampel. Kebanyakan nilai M bagi komposit tanah-abu dasar adalah di antara 1.25 dan 1.33, memberikan variasi sudut geseran pada keadaan kritikal di antara 31° hingga 33° , menjadikannya termasuk di dalam kategori “campuran kerikil dan pasir dengan tanah butiran halus”. Umumnya, kuatan ricih dan kebolehmampatan bagi tanah liat boleh bertambah baik oleh pemasangan tiang abu dasar. Satu carta rekabentuk telah dihasilkan bertujuan untuk menjadi panduan untuk proses rekabentuk, terutamanya dalam menentukan isipadu abu dasar yang diperlukan untuk menghasilkan tiang tegak, bagi mencapai kekuatan ricih yang diperlukan untuk menambah baik tanah liat.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Research	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objectives	5
	1.4 Significance of Research	6
	1.5 Scope of Research	7
	1.6 Limitation of Research	9
	1.7 Thesis Organization	10
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
	2.1 Introduction	13
	2.2 Sustainable Construction	13

2.3	Soft Clay	16
2.3.1	Compressibility and Consolidation	18
2.3.2	Undrained Shear Strength	21
2.4	Critical State Theory	22
2.4.1	Definition of State Parameters	22
2.4.2	State Boundary Surface	24
2.4.3	Critical Voids Ratio Line	27
2.4.4	Undrained Shear Strength	27
2.4.5	Critical State Parameters of Some Types of Soil	28
2.5	Bottom Ash	31
2.5.1	Bottom Ash Utilization	35
2.5.2	Physical and Mechanical Properties of Bottom Ash	37
2.5.2.1	Particle Size Distribution	37
2.5.2.2	Compaction	40
2.5.2.3	Specific Gravity	43
2.5.2.4	Permeability	45
2.5.2.5	Shear Strength	46
2.5.3	Morphological Characteristic	48
2.6	Ground Improvement	52
2.7	Stone Column	54
2.8	Small Scale Modelling on Stone Columns	57
2.8.1	General Physical Modeling Work	57
2.8.2	Preparation of Reconstituted Soft Clay	66
2.8.3	Column Installation Method	68
2.8.4	Shear Strength of Reinforced Clay	70
2.8.5	Consolidation around Vertical Granular Column	73
2.8.6	Critical Column Length	74
3	METHODOLOGY	76
3.1	Introduction	76
3.2	Selection of Ground Improvement Technique	78
3.3	Selection of Materials	78
3.4	Sample Collection	79
3.5	Determination of Basic, Mechanical and Chemical	

Properties of Materials	79
3.5.1 Sieve Analysis	81
3.5.2 Hydrometer	82
3.5.3 Specific Gravity	82
3.5.4 Atterberg Limits	83
3.5.5 Compaction Test	84
3.5.6 Relative Density	85
3.5.7 Permeability	86
3.5.8 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	86
3.5.9 Vane Shear Test	87
3.5.10 Unconfined Compression Test (UCT)	88
3.5.11 Consolidated Undrained (CU) Triaxial Test	89
3.6 Design of Bottom Ash Column Model	91
3.6.1 General	91
3.6.2 Sample Preparation	92
3.6.2.1 Bottom Ash Sample	95
3.6.2.2 Kaolin Clay Samples	97
3.6.3 Bottom Ash Columns Installation	100
3.6.4 Bottom Ash Column Arrangement	102
3.7 Geotechnical Digital System (GDS) Fully Automated Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test	104
3.7.1 System Elements	107
3.7.2 CU Triaxial Test	109
3.7.2.1 Stage 1 and 2: Saturation Ramp	110
3.7.2.2 Stage 3: Saturation Check	112
3.7.2.3 Stage 4: Isotropic Consolidation	114
3.7.2.4 Stage 5: Shearing	115
3.7.2.5 Rate of Strain and Displacement	116
3.8 Data Analysis and Result Presentation	118
4 PROPERTIES OF RESEARCH MATERIALS	119
4.1 Introduction	119
4.2 Basic Properties	120
4.2.1 Particle Size Distribution	122

4.2.2	Atterberg Limit	123
4.2.3	Relative Density	124
4.2.3	Specific Gravity	125
4.3	Mechanical Properties	126
4.3.1	Compaction	126
4.3.2	Permeability	128
4.3.3	Shear Strength	129
4.3.3.1	Direct Shear Test	129
4.3.3.2	Unconfined Compression Test	130
4.3.3.2	Laboratory Vane Shear Test	131
4.3.3.3	Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test	134
4.4	Morphological Properties	140
5	COMPRESSIBILITY	142
5.1	Introduction	142
5.2	Consolidation Parameters	142
5.3	Controlled Sample	144
5.4	Samples Reinforced with Single Bottom Ash Column	146
5.4.1	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 0.6$	146
5.4.2	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 0.8$	150
5.4.3	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 1.0$	154
5.5	Samples Reinforced with Group of Bottom Ash Columns	157
5.5.1	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 0.6$	157
5.5.2	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 0.8$	161
5.5.3	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 1.0$	164
5.6	Summary	168
6	SHEAR STRENGTH ANALYSIS	173
6.1	Introduction	173
6.2	Unconfined Compression Test	173
6.2.1	Undrained Shear Strength	173
6.2.2	The Effect of Area Replacement Ratio	177
6.2.3	Effect of Column Penetration Ratio	180

6.2.4	Effects of Volume Replacement Ratio	183
6.3	Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test	185
6.3.1	Stress Strain Behavior	187
6.3.1.1	Single Columns	187
6.3.1.2	Group Columns	193
6.3.2	Excess Pore Pressure	198
6.3.3	Shear Strength Parameters	199
7	CRITICAL STATE ANALYSIS	207
7.1	Introduction	207
7.2	Stresses and Void Ratio at Critical State	208
7.3	Controlled Sample	211
7.4	Samples Reinforced with Bottom Ash Column	214
7.4.1	Single Column	214
7.4.1.1	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 0.6$	216
7.4.1.2	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 0.8$	220
7.4.1.3	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 1.0$	224
7.4.2	Group Columns	228
7.4.2.1	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 0.6$	230
7.4.2.2	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 0.8$	234
7.4.2.3	Height Penetrating Ratio, $H_c/H_s = 1.0$	238
7.5	Critical State Line Equations and Critical State Parameters	242
7.6	Variation of M , λ and Γ with Volume Replacement Ratio	245
7.7	Undrained Shear Strength at Critical State	246
7.7.1	Theoretical Correlation of Undrained Shear Strength with Specific Volume at Critical State	248
7.7.2	Variation of Undrained Shear Strength with Specific Volume	252
7.7.3	Effect of Volume Replacement Ratio	256
7.8	Summary of Critical State Analysis	263

8	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	265
8.1	Introduction	265
8.2	Conclusion	265
8.3	Contributions of Research	268
8.3.1	Ground Improvement	268
8.3.2	Sustainability	269
8.3.3	Economy	269
8.3.4	Originality	270
8.4	Recommendations for Future Research	270
	REFERENCES	272
	Appendices A-H	280 - 349

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Comparison of index properties of clay in different places (Mohd Amin <i>et al.</i> , 1997)	18
2.2	Lightly overconsolidated clays and silts tested in USA (GEO5 user's guide, 2010)	20
2.3	Typical value of compression index of different type of soil (GEO5 user's guide, 2010)	20
2.4	Undrained strength classification of clay (Craig, 1983)	21
2.5	Critical state parameters of some soil types (Marto, 1996)	30
2.6	List of coal-fired plants in Malaysia (Wikipedia, 2012)	32
2.7	Current and forecast ash production of Tanjung Bin Power Plant (Worley Parsons Resources and Energy, 2011)	33
2.8	Inventory of on-site disposal of ash at Tanjung Bin Power Plant (Worley Parsons Resources and Energy, 2011)	33
2.9	Particle classification (Head, 1992)	38
2.10	Optimum moisture content and maximum dry density of bottom ash from compaction tests	42
2.11	Results of specific gravity of bottom ash from previous works	44
2.12	Results of permeability of bottom ash from previous works	45
2.13	Results of direct shear test for bottom ash from previous works	47
2.14	Ranges of friction angles for soils (Budhu, 2007)	47
2.15	Effect of area replacement ratio on undrained shear strength of reinforced clay	71
3.1	Tests and standards/methods for the main materials	80
3.2	Sample coding and testing programme of CU triaxial tests for	

	unreinforced clay and clay reinforced with bottom ash columns	91
3.3	Moisture content for kaolin specimens	99
3.4	Density of various dimensions of bottom ash columns installed in kaolin specimens	101
4.1	Basic properties of Tanjung Bin bottom ash and kaolin	121
4.2	Comparison on the specific gravity of bottom ash from coal-fired power plants in Malaysia	126
4.3	Statistical analysis on shear strength data from vane shear tests	132
4.4	Result of undrained shear strength from vane shear test	133
5.1	Consolidation parameters	143
6.1	Results from Unconfined Compression test	174
6.2	Peak deviator stress and axial strain from UCT	177
6.3	Effect of area replacement ratio for fully penetrating columns on undrained shear strength	178
6.4	Summary results from consolidated undrained triaxial test	186
7.1	Deviator stress, mean normal effective stress and void ratio at critical state	209
7.2	CSL equations and CS parameters from CU tests for kaolin reinforced with bottom ash columns	244
7.3	Undrained shear strength and increased in the strength at critical state	247
7.4	Calculated and laboratory values of undrained shear strengths, and specific volumes of samples at critical states	249
7.5	Undrained shear strength at critical state and the corresponding initial specific volume	253

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Location of coal-fired power plants in Malaysia (Mahmud, 2003)	2
2.1	The diagram of sustainability in construction (Zainul Abidin, 2009)	15
2.2	Quaternary sediment in Peninsular Malaysia (after Stauffer, 1973)	17
2.3	Critical state line and stress paths for undrained loading on normally consolidated clay (Whitlow, 2001)	23
2.4	Plots of triaxial tests results of CU and CD (Whitlow, 2001) in: (a) q : p' space, (b) v : p' space	25
2.5	The critical state boundary surface (Whitlow, 2001)	26
2.6	United States of America electric power industry net generation (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2008)	34
2.7	Bottom ash applications as a percentage of total reused (American Coal Ash Association, 2006)	37
2.8	Grain size distribution for fly and bottom ash from Tanjung Bin Power Plant (Lee, 2008)	39
2.9	Grain size distribution curves of several bottom ash samples (Recycled Materials Resource Centre, 2012)	40
2.10	Typical compaction curve for cohesionless soil (Huang, 1990)	41
2.11	SEM photomicrographs of Tanjung Bin bottom ash (Muhardi <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	48
2.12	SEM photomicrographs of Tanjung Bin bottom ash	

	(Awang <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	49
2.13	Particle shape of the bottom ash (Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	49
2.14	Particle shape of the (a) bottom ash and (b) natural sand (Bai and Basheer, 2002)	50
2.15	SEM of bottom ash (Won <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	51
2.16	SEM of as-received bottom ash and ground bottom ash (a) As-received bottom ash and (b) Ground bottom ash (Sathonsaowaphak <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	52
2.17	The installation of stone column in construction field (McCabe <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	56
2.18	Geogrid encased sand column in unconfined compression test (Gniel and Bouazza, 2008)	59
2.19	Modified triaxial cell for testing reinforced soil specimen (Juran and Riccobono, 1991)	60
2.20	Photographs of deformed sand columns exhumed at the end of footing penetration (Muir Wood <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	62
2.21	Loading patten and column arrangement (Black <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	63
2.22	The sand columns installed in transparent ‘claylike’ material (McKelvey, 2004)	65
2.23	Consolidation of kaolin samples (Hu, 1995)	67
2.24	Customized 1-D Consolidometers to generate homogenous kaolin samples (Najjar <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	68
2.25	The preparation for pre-drilled hole for the insertion of granular column (Najjar <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	69
2.26	Insertion of “frozen” granular column in clay specimen (Najjar <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	70
2.27	Deviator stress at failure for various column penetration ratios (Black <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	72
2.28	Effect of ratio of column height to diameter (Najjar <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	73
3.1	Flow chart for research methodology process	77
3.2	Scanning Electron Microscope model ZEISS EVO	87
3.3	Laboratory Vane Shear Test	88
3.4	Unconfined Compression Test on kaolin	89
3.5	Bottom ash being prepared for CU triaxial test	93

3.6	Bottom ash specimen during consolidation stage in CU triaxial test	93
3.7	Bottom ash specimen during shearing stage in CU triaxial test	94
3.8	Apparatus for the preparation of soft homogenous kaolin specimens	95
3.9	Kaolin mixed with water poured into the mould	95
3.10	Customized mould set for 50 mm diameter and 100 mm height specimen	96
3.11	Kaolin compacted by pressing it from both ends by the customized cap	96
3.12	Hole was drilled using 10 and 16 mm diameter drill bits	97
3.13	Specimens extruded out of the mould and kept inside the case	98
3.14	Location of points for moisture content determination	100
3.15	Installation of bottom ash in soft kaolin clay specimen	101
3.16	Detail column arrangement for single and group bottom ash columns installed in clay specimens	103
3.17	Single and group bottom ash columns completely installed in the soft kaolin clay specimen	104
3.18	GDS Fully Automated Triaxial System equipment setup	105
3.19	Diagram of GDSTAS Hardware elements (GDS Instruments, 2010)	107
3.20	Advanced Pressure/Volume Controller for controlling of cell pressure and back pressure	108
3.21	GDSLAB software	109
3.22	GDSLAB test plan for consolidated undrained triaxial test	110
3.23	Setup for Stage 1	111
3.24	Optional test termination conditions for Stage 1	112
3.25	Setup for Stage 3	113
3.26	Optional test termination condition for Stage 3	113
3.27	Setup for Stage 4	114
3.28	Optional test termination condition for Stage 4	115
3.29	Setup for Stage 5	117
3.30	Optional test start condition for Stage 5	118
4.1	Bottom ash obtained from Tanjung Bin coal-fired power plant	120

4.2	Particle size distribution of bottom ash and kaolin	123
4.3	The location of kaolin S300 in the plasticity chart (ASTM D2487)	124
4.4	Relationship between dry density and moisture content from standard proctor compaction test for kaolin	127
4.5	Relationship between dry density and moisture content from standard proctor compaction test for bottom ash	128
4.6	Graph of shear stress versus normal stress	130
4.7	Deviator stress and excess pore-water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin clay and bottom ash	135
4.8	Mohr-Coulomb effective stress failure envelopes for (a) kaolin clay; (b) bottom ash	137
4.9	a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for kaolin clay	139
4.10	Bottom ash particles at 10 μm magnification	141
4.11	Bottom ash particles at 100 μm magnification	141
5.1	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of controlled sample	145
5.2	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of controlled sample	146
5.3	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 10 mm diameter column with 60 % height penetrating ratio	147
5.4	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 10 mm diameter column with 60 % height penetrating ratio	148
5.5	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 16 mm diameter column with 60 % height penetrating ratio	149
5.6	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 16 mm diameter column with 60 % height penetrating ratio	150
5.7	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 10 mm diameter column with 80 % height penetrating ratio	151

5.8	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 10 mm diameter column with 80 % height penetrating ratio	152
5.9	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 16 mm diameter column with 80 % height penetrating ratio	153
5.10	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 16 mm diameter column with 80 % height penetrating ratio	153
5.11	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 10 mm diameter column with 100 % height penetrating ratio	155
5.12	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 10 mm diameter column with 100 % height penetrating ratio	155
5.13	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 16 mm diameter column with 100 % height penetrating ratio	156
5.14	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with single 16 mm diameter column with 100 % height penetrating ratio	156
5.15	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 10 mm diameter columns with 60 % height penetrating ratio	158
5.16	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 10 mm diameter column with 60 % height penetrating ratio	159
5.17	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 16 mm diameter columns with 60 % height penetrating ratio	160
5.18	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 16 mm diameter columns with 60 % height penetrating ratio	160
5.19	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation	

	stage of sample reinforced with group 10 mm diameter columns with 80 % height penetrating ratio	162
5.20	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 10 mm diameter columns with 80 % height penetrating ratio	162
5.21	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 16 mm diameter columns with 80 % height penetrating ratio	163
5.22	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 16 mm diameter columns with 80 % height penetrating ratio	164
5.23	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 10 mm diameter columns with 100 % height penetrating ratio	165
5.24	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 10 mm diameter columns with 100 % height penetrating ratio	166
5.25	Volume change against square-root time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 16 mm diameter columns with 100 % height penetrating ratio	167
5.26	Pore pressure dissipation against log time for consolidation stage of sample reinforced with group 16 mm diameter columns with 100 % height penetrating ratio	167
5.27	Time to reach full consolidation versus effective confining pressure for (a) single and (b) group bottom ash columns	169
5.28	Schematic diagram on possible crushing and smearing effects of different effective confining pressures on kaolin specimens reinforced with bottom ash column	171
6.1	Shear strength versus area replacement ratio	178
6.2	Effect of ratio of column height to diameter on undrained shear strength	181
6.3	The improvement of undrained shear strength by height penetrating ratio for single and group bottom ash columns	182
6.4	Schematic diagrams of soft kaolin clay reinforced with	

	partially and fully penetrating granular columns, confined by surrounding soil pressure	183
6.5	Improvement of undrained shear strength with volume replacement ratio	184
6.6	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with single bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 100 kPa	188
6.7	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with single bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 200 kPa	190
6.8	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with single bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 400 kPa	192
6.9	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with group bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 100 kPa	194
6.10	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with group bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 200 kPa	196
6.11	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with group bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 400 kPa	197
6.12	Effective failure envelopes for kaolin specimens reinforced with (a) single and (b) group columns	201
6.13	Effective friction angle versus height penetration ratio	202
6.14	Apparent cohesion versus height penetration ratio	203
6.15	Apparent cohesion versus area replacement ratio	206
6.16	Effective friction angle versus area replacement ratio	202
7.1	Effective stress paths for kaolin clay sample reinforced with single and group bottom ash columns	210
7.2	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space and (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for controlled sample	213
7.3	Critical state line in $v : \ln p'$ space for controlled sample	214
7.4	Effective stress paths for clay sample reinforced with single	

	partially and fully penetrating granular columns, confined by surrounding soil pressure	183
6.5	Improvement of undrained shear strength with volume replacement ratio	184
6.6	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with single bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 100 kPa	188
6.7	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with single bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 200 kPa	190
6.8	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with single bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 400 kPa	192
6.9	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with group bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 100 kPa	194
6.10	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with group bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 200 kPa	196
6.11	Deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus axial strain for kaolin specimens reinforced with group bottom ash columns at effective confining pressure of 400 kPa	197
6.12	Effective failure envelopes for kaolin specimens reinforced with (a) single and (b) group columns	201
6.13	Effective friction angle versus height penetration ratio	202
6.14	Apparent cohesion versus height penetration ratio	203
6.15	Apparent cohesion versus area replacement ratio	206
6.16	Effective friction angle versus area replacement ratio	202
7.1	Effective stress paths for kaolin clay sample reinforced with single and group bottom ash columns	210
7.2	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space and (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for controlled sample	213
7.3	Critical state line in $v : \ln p'$ space for controlled sample	214
7.4	Effective stress paths for clay sample reinforced with single	

	bottom ash columns	215
7.5	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay sample reinforced with single 10 mm diameter bottom ash column at 60 % penetration	217
7.6	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with single 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 60 % penetration	218
7.7	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay sample reinforced with single 16 mm diameter bottom ash column with 60 % penetration	219
7.8	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with single 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 60 % penetration	220
7.9	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with single 10 mm diameter bottom ash column with 80 % penetration	221
7.10	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with single 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 80 % penetration	222
7.11	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with single 16 mm diameter bottom ash column with 80 % penetration	223
7.12	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with single 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 80 % penetration	224
7.13	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with single 10 mm diameter bottom ash column with 100 % penetration	225
7.14	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with single 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 100 % penetration	226
7.15	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with single 16 mm diameter bottom ash column with 100 % penetration	227
7.16	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with single 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 100 % penetration	228
7.17	Effective stress paths for clay sample reinforced with group bottom ash columns	229
7.18	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$	

	space for clay reinforced with group 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 60 % penetration	231
7.19	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with group 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 60 % penetration	232
7.20	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with group 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 60 % penetration	233
7.21	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with group 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 60 % penetration	234
7.22	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with group 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 80 % penetration	235
7.23	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with group 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 80 % penetration	236
7.24	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with group 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 80 % penetration	237
7.25	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with group 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 80 % penetration	238
7.26	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with group 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 100 % penetration	239
7.27	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with group 10 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 100 % penetration	240
7.28	(a) Stress paths and CSL in $q : p'$ space (b) CSL in $e : p'$ space for clay reinforced with group of 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns with 100 % penetration	241
7.29	CSL in $v : \ln p'$ space for kaolin clay reinforced with a group of 16 mm diameter bottom ash columns at 100 % penetration	242
7.30	Variation of (a) M , (b) Γ and (c) λ ; with volume replacement ratio for kaolin clay reinforced with bottom ash columns	245
7.31	Variation of undrained shear strengths with volume replacement ratio for all samples at $p'_o = 100$ kPa	250

7.32	Variation of undrained shear strengths with volume replacement ratio for all samples at $p'_o = 200$ kPa	251
7.33	Variation of undrained shear strengths with volume replacement ratio for all samples at $p'_o = 400$ kPa	251
7.34	Variation of undrained shear strength with specific volume	254
7.35	Variation of volume replacement ratio with specific volume	256
7.36	Variation of undrained shear strength with volume replacement ratio	258
7.37	Variation of the increased in undrained shear strength with volume replacement ratio	259
7.38	Variation of undrained shear strength with volume replacement ratio	261
7.39	Correlation between a constant A and p'_o	262
7.40	Correlation between a constant B and p'_o	262
7.41	Design chart for undrained shear strength of kaolin clay reinforced with bottom ash columns at various percentage of volume replacement ratio	263

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Calibration Certificate	285
B	Hydrometer Test and Dry Sieving Test	294
C	Atterberg Limit Test	296
D	Relative Density Test	298
E	Specific Gravity Test	299
F	Standard 'Light' Compaction Test Data	300
G	Permeability Test Data	301
H	Direct Shear Test Data	303
I	Unconfined Compression Test	307
J	Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test	320

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO	-	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BA	-	Bottom Ash
BS	-	British Standard
C	-	Controlled Sample
CBR	-	California Bearing Ratio
CSL	-	Critical State Line
CD	-	Consolidated Drained
CU	-	Consolidated Undrained
G	-	Group Columns
LL	-	Liquid Limit
NCL	-	Normal Consolidation Line
PI	-	Plasticity Index
PL	-	Plastic Limit
SL	-	Shrinkage Limit
S	-	Single Column
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
UCT	-	Unconfined Compression Test
US	-	United States
USCS	-	Unified Soil Classification System